

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

ABRAHAM

Application : 09/775953 Examiner : ~~LAHARRE~~ GAU : 2133
 From : NIGB Location : IDC FMF FDC Date : 7-7-05

Tracking # : 00108872 Week Date : 5-23-05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>3-14-05</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>4-21-05</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: RENUMBERED CLAIMS 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 19 HAVE MORE THAN ONE
DEPENDENCY; one of which is a HIGHER
DEPENDENT CLAIM.

Please Resolve.

THANK YOU
NIGB

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: There is no problem with the claims meaning
it is OK to have multiple dependency as long as the
claims are claimed in the alternative.

Ex. Claim 2 depend on 1 or 32 is appropriate.
Claim 2 depend on 1 and 32 is ~~an~~ inappropriate.

INITIALS: EA